

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office acuress: COMMINISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/202,054	12/07/1998	AUDREY GODDARD	P1154R2	2403
75	590 08/02/2004		EXAM	INER
GINGER R DREGER GENENTECH INC			SPECTOR, LORRAINE	
1 DNA WAY	inc		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 940804990			1647	
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/202,054	GODDARD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lorraine Spector, Ph.D.	1647	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 15 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
 a)	rom the mailing date of the final rejection. ONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the correfee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory process (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension eriod for reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed v 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid	·
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/	or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for application in better form for application in better form for application.	eal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) $oxed{oxed}$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding r	number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submacanceling the non-allowable claim(s).	nitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has application in condition for allowance because: All rejections maintained	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d SOLELY to issues which were newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be e explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-30,48-50 and 54</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Pa	per No(s)
10. Other:	
	Janaine Sactor
	Lorraine Spector, Ph.D.
	Primary Examiner

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)